### INDIANA BOARD OF TAX REVIEW

# Final Determination Findings and Conclusions Lake County

Petition #: 45-001-02-1-5-00015 Petitioner: Roland Wilson

**Respondent:** Department of Local Government Finance

Parcel #: 001-25-44-0113-0006

Assessment Year: 2002

The Indiana Board of Tax Review (the "Board") issues this determination in the above matter, and finds and concludes as follows:

### **Procedural History**

- 1. An informal hearing as described in Ind. Code § 6-1.1-4-33 was held between the Petitioner and the Respondent. The Department of Local Government Finance (DLGF) determined that the Petitioner's property tax assessment for the subject property was \$36,800 and notified the Petitioner.
- 2. The Petitioner filed a Form 139L on April 14, 2004.
- 3. The Board issued a notice of hearing to the parties dated June 22, 2004.
- 4. A hearing was held on August 10, 2004, in Crown Point, Indiana before Special Master Barbara Wiggins.

#### **Facts**

- 5. The subject property is located at: 351 Fillmore Street, Gary, in Calumet Township.
- 6. The subject property is a two unit residential structure on .100 acres of land.
- 7. The Special Master did not conduct an on-site visit of the property.
- 8. Assessed Value of subject property as determined by the DLGF: Land \$5,400 Improvements \$31,400 Total \$36,800
- 9. Assessed Value requested by Petitioner: Land \$5,400 Improvements \$12,600 Total \$18,000

10. The following persons were present and sworn in at hearing:

For Petitioner: Roland & Sandra Wilson, Property Owner

For Respondent: David Depp, Cole-Layer, Trumble

#### **Issue**

11. Summary of Petitioner's contentions in support of alleged error in assessment:

One side of the property has not been used since the late 1950s or early 1960s. He estimated that at least \$20,000 in repairs would be required to make the unit livable. *R. Wilson testimony*.

12. Summary of Respondent's contentions in support of assessment:

The Respondent testified the data collectors did not enter either living unit and were not aware of the damage. Respondent agreed to a reduction based on the testimony. *Depp testimony*.

### Record

- 13. The official record for this matter is made up of the following:
  - a) The Petition and all subsequent pre-hearing submissions by either party.
  - b) The tape recording of the hearing labeled Lake Co. #254 and #258.
  - c) Exhibits:

Petitioner Exhibit 1: Property record card and photographs of subject property

d) These Findings and Conclusions.

### **Analysis**

- 14. The most applicable governing cases are:
  - 1. A Petitioner seeking review of a determination of an assessing official has the burden to establish a prima facie case proving that the current assessment is incorrect, and specifically what the correct assessment would be. *See Meridian Towers East & West v. Washington Twp. Assessor*, 805 N.E.2d 475, 478 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2003); *see also, Clark v. State Bd. of Tax Comm'rs*, 694 N.E.2d 1230 (Ind. Tax Ct. 1998).
  - 2. In making its case, the taxpayer must explain how each piece of evidence is relevant to the requested assessment. *See Indianapolis Racquet Club, Inc. v. Washington Twp. Assessor*, 802 N.E.2d

- 1018, 1022 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2004) ("[I]t is the taxpayer's duty to walk the Indiana Board . . . through every element of the analysis").
- 3. Once the Petitioner establishes a prima facie case, the burden shifts to the assessing official to rebut the Petitioner's evidence. *See American United Life Ins. Co. v. Maley*, 803 N.E.2d 276 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2004). The assessing official must offer evidence that impeaches or rebuts the Petitioner's evidence. *Id.; Meridian Towers*, 805 N.E.2d at 479.
- 15. The Petitioner and the Respondent agreed the assessed value should be \$18,000. *R. Wilson testimony; Depp testimony.* The Board makes no findings regarding the merits of the case and accepts the parties' agreement.

#### Conclusion

16. The Petitioner and the Respondent agreed on the issue. The Board finds that the assessed value should be changed to \$18,000 in accordance with the agreement.

### **Final Determination**

In accordance with the above findings and conclusions, the Indiana Board of Tax Review now determines that the assessment should be changed.

SSUED:	,	
Commissioner,		
Indiana Board of Tax Review		

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

## - APPEAL RIGHTS -

You may petition for judicial review of this final determination pursuant to the provisions of Indiana Code § 6-1.1-15-5. The action shall be taken to the Indiana Tax Court under Indiana Code § 4-21.5-5. To initiate a proceeding for judicial review you must take the action required within forty-five (45) days of the date of this notice.